

### State of Utah **DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

April 21, 2009

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7005 2570 000 4801 6997

Ken Wedritch Unico, Inc. 8880 Rio San Diego Dr. 8th FL San Diego, California 92108

Subject: Final Assessment for State Notices of Violation No's. NM2008-41-04 and

NM2008-41-05, Unico, Inc., Bromide Basin Mine, S/017/0031, Garfield County, Utah

Dear Mr. Wedritch:

On January 14, 2009, the Division sent you a proposed assessment associated with Notice of Violation No's NM2008-41-04 and NM2008-41-05. Under rules R647-7-106 & R646-7-107, you are allowed 30 days from the receipt of the proposed assessment to request a conference or hearing to review the fact of the violation or assessment. Our records indicate that you received the proposed assessment on January 20, 2009, and you should have requested a conference or hearing on or before February 19, 2009. We have no record showing such a request; therefore, under R647-7-108 the opportunity to appeal is past and the proposed assessment is now considered final.

The finalized penalty for each of the notices of violation are (see attached worksheets):

MN2008-41-04 - \$242.00 MN2008-41-05 - \$484.00

The Total penalty in the amount of \$726.00 is now due and payable. Please remit payment to the Division, c/o Vickie Southwick. Failure to provide the required payment within 30 days may result in civil action in the appropriate district court.



Page 2 Ken Wedritch S0170031 April 21, 2009

Thank you for resolving this situation. Please call me at (801) 538-5310 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lynn Kunzler

Assessment Officer

# UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING WORKSHEET FOR **FINAL** ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES

COMPANY:	Unico, Inc		
PERMIT #:	S/017/031	<del></del>	
VIOLATION #	<u>k:</u> MN-2008-41-05		
	IT OFFICER:	Date: <u></u>	April 15, 2009
	, ,	Proposed Assessment	Final Assessment
(1) Hi	story/Previous Violations	0	0
(2) Se	eriousness	20	20
(3) Ne	egligence	7	7
	ood Faith	0	-5
Total F		27	22
	ASSESSED FINE: \$ 484.00		

### NARRATIVE:

(Based on Final Assessment Points)

(Brief explanation for any changes made in assignment of points and any additional information that was available after the proposed assessment.)

Abatement of this violation is classified as a 'difficult abatement'. Inspector indicated that the operator used diligence in abating the violation which is considered normal compliance. -5 Good faith points are awarded. this is midpoint in the range.

# UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING WORKSHEET FOR **FINAL** ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES

COMPANY:	Unico, Inc		
PERMIT #:	S/017/031		
VIOLATION #	: MN-2008-41-04		
ASSESSMEN	, , , ,	Date:	April 15, 2009
		Proposed Assessment	Final Assessment
(1) Hi	story/Previous Violations	0	0
(2) Se	eriousness	3	3
(3) Ne	egligence	8	8
	ood Faith	0	0
Total F		11	11
	L ASSESSED FINE: \$ 242.00		

#### NARRATIVE:

(Based on Final Assessment Points)

(Brief explanation for any changes made in assignment of points and any additional information that was available after the proposed assessment.)

Abatement is considered as normal compliance under an easy abatement scenario - good faith points are not available.